

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/074,364	02/12/2002	Brian N. Tufte	1076.1101104	9076
28075	7590 09/16/2003			
CROMPTON, SEAGER & TUFTE, LLC			EXAMINER	
1221 NICOLLET AVENUE			NEGRON, ISMAEL	
SUITE 800 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55403-2420			<u></u>	
MININE	DID, 1411 35 105 2 120		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2875	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	
			A	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Applicati n N .	Applicant(s)					
		10/074,364	TUFTE, BRIAN N.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Ismael Negron	2875					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	••				
THE II - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period or reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communic D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	cation.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03.	<u>luly 2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under			rits is				
·	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
· <u> </u>	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-22</u> is/are rejected.							
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and all and a second						
• —	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o on Papers	r election requirement.						
	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r						
• —	The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 June 2002</u> is/are: a)		the Examiner.					
13/23	Applicant may not request that any objection to the							
11) 🔲 -	The proposed drawing correction filed on							
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ply to this Office action.						
12) 🔲 -	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).					
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicati	ion No					
* S	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Busee the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		;				
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional appli	ication).				
) \square The translation of the foreign language pro							
Attachment	t(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>6</u>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					
S Patent and To	rademark Office							

- Application/Control Number: 10/074,364

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Title

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: **Elongated Illumination Device with Support**Bracket.

Abstract

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Art Unit: 2875

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it includes phrases which can be implied. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1-8 and 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nagano (U.S. Pat. 5,430,627).

Nagano discloses an illumination device having:

- an elongated light source, Figure 9, reference number 61;
- an elongated member, Figure 7, reference number 111;
- the elongated member having a cavity, Figure 7, reference
 number 129;
- the cavity being for receiving the elongated light source, column 3, lines 56 and 57;
- the cavity being at least partially defined by a first material that is at least partially transparent, Figure 7, reference number 143;
- the first material extending to the outer surface of the
 elongated member, Figure 7;

- Application/Control Number: 10/074,364

Art Unit: 2875

- the elongated member including a second material that is substantially non-transparent, Figure 7;
- a stair, inherent;
- the stair including a substantially horizontal surface
 terminating in a ledge, inherent;
- the stair also including a downwardly extending surface,
 inherent;
- the elongated member extending at least over part of the
 horizontal surface of the stair, Figure 7, reference number 113;
- the elongated member also extending at least over part of the downwardly extending surface of the stair, Figure 7, reference number 115;
- the cavity being positioned adjacent the ledge, Figure 7,
 reference number 129;
- the cavity being positioned adjacent the substantially
 horizontal surface, Figure 7, reference number 129;
- the first material extending over two o more surfaces of the elongated member, Figure 7, reference numbers 143 and 145;
 and
- a portion of the second material separating the two or more surfaces having the first material, Figure 7, reference number
 131.

Application/Control Number: 10/074,364

Art Unit: 2875

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 9, 10, 21 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Nagano (U.S. Pat. 5,430,627).

Nagano discloses an illumination device having:

- an elongated light source, Figure 9, reference number 61;
- an elongated member, Figure 7, reference number 111;
- **the elongated member having a cavity**, Figure 7, reference number 129;
- the cavity being for receiving the elongated light source, column 3, lines 56 and 57;
- the cavity being at least partially defined by a first material that
 is at least partially transparent, Figure 7, reference number 143;
- the first material extending to the outer surface of the elongated member, Figure 7.

Nagano discloses all the limitations of the claims, except the elongated light source being an electro luminescent wire (ELEW) or a linear emitting fiber (LEF).

The examiner takes Official Notice that the use of ELEW and LEF is old and well known in the illumination art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute an ELEW or LEF for the elongated light source in the system of Nagano. One would have been motivated since ELEW and LEF are recognized in the illumination art to have many desirable advantages, including reduced size, high efficiency, low power consumption, long life, resistance to vibrations, and low heat production, over other light sources.

Relevant Prior Art

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Lewis et al. (U.S. Pat. 3,885,144), Winter (U.S. Pat. 4,625,266) and Brookman (U.S. Pat. 5,337,225) disclose elongated illumination devices featuring most of the limitations of the claimed invention.

Crumley (U.S. Pat. 6,065,852) and **Hoeffling et al**. (U.S. Pat. 6,129,442) disclose elongated illumination devices featuring light source including linear emitting fiber (LEF).

Voskoboinik et al. (U.S. Pat. 5,485,355), Chien (U.S. Pat. 6,082,867) and Davie (U.S. Pat. 6,526,200) disclose illumination devices including electro luminescent wire (ELEW) light sources.

Art Unit: 2875

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ismael Negron whose telephone number is (703) 308-6086. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 9:00 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra L. O'Shea, can be reached on (703) 305-4939. The facsimile machine number for the Art Group is (703) 308-7382.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Inr

September 7, 2003

A AN CARIASO PRIIVARY EXAMINES